arXiv:1108.2333v5 [gr-qc] 13 Jun 2012

Extremal Limits and Kerr Spacetime

Parthapratim Pradhan*
Department of Physics,
Vivekananda Satabarshiki Mahavidyalaya,
Manikpara, Paschim Medinipur,
WestBengal-721513, India.

Parthasarathi Majumdar†
Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata 700 064, India.

(Dated: June 14, 2012)

In this paper, we investigate a number of issues regarding the disparity between precisely extremely and nearly extremely geometries, the *first* of which concerns the singular nature of the extremal limit of Carter's maximal analytic extension of a generic Kerr geometry and the second one is that the direct Innermost Stable Circular Orbits(ISCO) in extremal Kerr spacetime which lie precisely *on* the event horizon in terms of the radial coordinate which coincides with the *principal null geodesic generator*. This type of geodesics are unstable in the corresponding near-extremal situation. We also trying to find the difference between the extremal limit of a generic Kerr spacetime and the exactly extremal geometry. We further prove that the extremal Kerr black hole spacetime don't have any outer trapped surface.

PACS number(s): 04.70.Bw, 05.50.+h

I. INTRODUCTION

The extremal limit for four dimensional Reissner Nordstrøm(RN) black holes has been extensively studied recently ([1], [2] [3], [4]) to probe whether the limit is continuous. The definition of the Entropy Function [7] used to compare the 'macroscopic' entropy of a class of extremal black holes solutions of the super-gravity limit of string theories, to the 'microscopic' entropy obtained from counting of string states [8] requires a bifurcate horizon – an attribute that extremal spacetimes do not possess. The trick of using a generic near-extremal black hole spacetime to evaluate the Entropy function, though widely used in the literature, suffers from the pitfall that the extremal limit may have subtle discontinuities [3], [4], and may be different from the precisely extremal geometry. On our part, it has been shown [1] that there exists a class of stable circular orbit on the event horizon of the extremal Reissner Nordstrøm spacetime which coincides with the principal null geodesic generator of the horizon. The spacetime infinitesimally near the extremal does not admit this class of geodesics.

In this paper we examine similar features of the *Kerr* spacetime : whether in the extremal limit there exist geodesics on the horizon which can be characterized as ISCOs, as compared with the precisely extremal situation, where this issue has been very recently addressed [9] using a specific coordinate chart (Doran coordinates) which is smooth on the horizon. Here, we employ the Carter analytic extension instead to investigate the continuity of the extremal limit vis-a-vis the class of circular geodesics mentioned. While the use of conserved scalars like 'energy' and 'angular momentum' of test particles, both massive and massless, to study their geodesics, is usually deemed quite adequate in any coordinate system, here, there is a word of caution: since the geodesics in question lie *on* the horizon (and coincide with the null geodesics generator [1], [9]), the use of coordinate charts smooth on the horizon is certainly preferable to the use of charts which are not. Otherwise one may be led to conclusions which may have additional subtle pitfalls. The main result that emerges from our assay is what has been suspected earlier [3] and pointed out recently [1] for the Reissner Nordstrøm spacetime : *all aspects of the the extremal Kerr spacetime do not manifest in the near-extremal limit.* In particular, the class of geodesics close to the horizon in the extremal case does not overlap with the class in the case infinitesimally close to the extremal.

The plan of the paper is as follows: in section 2, we motivate our paper by showing that the order of the near-extremal and the near-horizon limits of the Kerr metric do not yield identical results, following a similar demonstration made in [1] for the RN spacetime. In section 3, the Carter analytic extension of the Kerr spacetime is discussed, on the axis of symmetry, and the difficulties of extracting the analytic extension of the extremal geometry from the extremal limit of the analytic extension of the generic spacetime elucidated. This is extended to regions of the spacetime off the axis of symmetry in section 4. Equatorial circular orbits are next considered in detail in section 5 with regard to their stability, both for timelike (outside the ergoregion) and null geodesics, and compared for the extremal and the near-extremal geometries. We conclude in section 6 with a discussion on the non-existence of trapping surfaces in the precisely

---

* pppradhan77@gmail.com
† bhpartha@gmail.com

extremal situation and contrasting this with the near-extremal situation.

II. MOTIVATION

Consider the Kerr metric in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates,

$$ds^2 = -\frac{\Delta}{\rho^2}\left[dt - a\sin^2\theta d\phi\right]^2 + \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}\left[(r^2+a^2)\,d\phi - adt\right]^2 + \rho^2\left[\frac{dr^2}{\Delta} + d\theta^2\right] \ . \tag{1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a &\equiv \frac{J}{M} \\ \rho^2 &\equiv r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta \\ \Delta &\equiv r^2 - 2Mr + a^2 \equiv (r-r_+)(r-r_-) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The metric is identical to the Schwarzschild black hole when $a = 0$. The horizon occurs at $g_{rr} = \infty$ or $\Delta = 0$ i.e.

$$r_\pm = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - a^2} \tag{3}$$

The outer horizon r_+ is called event horizon and the inner horizon r_- is called Cauchy horizon. As long as $M^2 \geq a^2$ the Kerr metric describes a black hole, otherwise it has a naked ringlike singularity.

For simplicity, taking the metric along the axis of symmetry ($\theta = 0$); it is easy to check exactly what is going on in the 2-dimensional sub manifold, although the basic topological properties of the 4-dimensional geometry are essentially the same. Considering the near extremality as: $\delta \equiv (r_+ - r_-)/r_0 << 1$, $\epsilon \equiv (r - r_0)/r_0 << 1$ where r_0 is the radius of the horizon in the extremal case, therefore the metric near to the event horizon becomes

$$ds^2 = -\frac{\epsilon(\epsilon+\delta)}{(1+\epsilon)}dt^2 + \frac{(1+\epsilon)}{\epsilon(\epsilon+\delta)}dr^2 \ . \tag{4}$$

which gives two different aspects depending on the order in which the limits $\delta \to 0$ and $\epsilon \to 0$ are taken. First taking the extremal limit $\delta \to 0$ and then the near-horizon limit is taken, the *local* geometry is that of an AdS_2.

$$ds^2 \simeq -\epsilon^2 dt^2 + \frac{r_0^2}{\epsilon^2}d\epsilon^2 \ . \tag{5}$$

On the other way, if the near horizon limit is taken before the extremal limit, one obtain

$$ds^2 \simeq -\epsilon\delta dt^2 + \frac{r_0^2}{\epsilon\delta}d\epsilon^2 \ . \tag{6}$$

which indicate the local geometry is not an AdS_2 and the extremal limit is indeed now singular.

III. MAXIMAL ANALYTICAL EXTENSION OF KERR SPACETIME ALONG THE SYMMETRIC AXIS

The maximal analytic extension of the extremal Kerr spacetime along the axis of symmetry was first reported by Carter [15]. Since, we could not seen any discussion in the literature about the discontinuity of this extension at the extremal limit $r_+ \to r_-$. So, we derived here the complete maximal analytic extension following the Carter's formalism and will be able to show that in the extremal limit $r_+ \to r_-$ it is indeed discontinuous.

A. Non-Extremal Case:

Now defining the 'tortoise' coordinates, and then use it to derive the Carter's maximal analytical extension. Therefore the tortoise coordinate r^* is given by

$$dr^* = \frac{(r^2+a^2)dr}{\Delta} = \frac{(r^2+a^2)dr}{(r-r_-)(r-r_+)} \ . \tag{7}$$

Integrating this equation, we obtain

$$F(r) = 2r^* = 2r + \kappa_+^{-1}\ln|r-r_+| + \kappa_-^{-1}\ln|r-r_-| \tag{8}$$

with $\kappa_\pm^{-1} \equiv \frac{2(r_\pm^2+a^2)}{(r_\pm - r_\mp)}$ and where as usual $r_\pm = M \pm \sqrt{M^2-a^2}$. $\kappa_\pm =$ is the surface gravity of the respective horizons. The outer horizon r_+ is an event horizon and the inner horizon r_- is a Cauchy horizon. $\kappa_\pm$ are both positive. $F(r)$ is monotonic in each of the regions

$$\begin{aligned} &Region\ I: && (r_+ < r < \infty) \\ &Region\ II: && (r_- < r < r_+) \\ &Region\ III: && (0 < r < r_-) \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

and blows up at the boundaries of the regions. Clearly, it is impossible to define a single coordinate patch which is regular (in terms of geodetic completeness) over the entire spacetime.

Near the event horizon $r = r_+$, the tortoise coordinates is given by

$$r^* \approx \frac{1}{2\kappa_+} \ln|r - r_+| \ . \tag{10}$$

Here r^* has logarithm dependence and is singular at $r = r_+$. Therefore, introducing double null coordinates $u \equiv r^* + t, v \equiv r^* - t$, it is obvious that the event horizon $r = r_+$ occurs at $v + u = -\infty$. The analytic extension of the Kerr spacetime is obtained by choosing in the neighborhood of the event horizon the coordinate system

$$U^+ = -\exp\left(-\kappa_+ u\right), \quad V^+ = \exp\left(\kappa_+ v\right) \tag{11}$$

Therefore the metric becomes near $r = r_+$ along the axis of symmetry

$$ds^2 = -\frac{r_+ r_-}{\kappa_+^2} \frac{\exp\left(-2\kappa_+ r\right)}{(r^2 + a^2)} \left(\frac{r_-}{r - r_-}\right)^{\kappa_+/\kappa_- - 1} dU^+ dV^+$$

where

$$U^+ V^+ = -\exp\left(2\kappa_+ r\right) \left(\frac{r - r_+}{r_+}\right) \left(\frac{r - r_-}{r_-}\right)^{\frac{\kappa_+}{\kappa_-}} \tag{12}$$

It is clear that $U^+ = V^+ = 0$ corresponds to the bifurcation 2-sphere for the generic Kerr spacetime. The coordinate patch used here is smooth in the neighborhood of the event horizon but *not* near the Cauchy horizon at $r = r_-$. Therefore, when the two horizons merge in the extremal limit then this coordinate patch is invalid. This conundrum shows up in some metric coefficients in (12) blowing up in the extremal limit $r_+ \to r_-$.

Similarly, a coordinate chart that is smooth across the Cauchy horizon can be constructed. The tortoise coordinate appropriate to $r = r_-$ is :

$$r^* \approx \frac{1}{2\kappa_+} \ln|r - r_-| \tag{13}$$

Therefore the transformations for the analytic extension are

$$U^- = -\exp\left(-\kappa_- u\right), \quad V^- = \exp\left(\kappa_- v\right) \tag{14}$$

where κ_- has been previously defined. The metric near $r = r_-$ along symmetry axis

$$ds^2 = -\frac{r_+ r_-}{\kappa_-^2} \frac{\exp\left(-2\kappa_- r\right)}{(r^2 + a^2)} \left(\frac{r_+}{r - r_+}\right)^{\kappa_-/\kappa_+ - 1} dU^- dV^-$$

where

$$U^- V^- = -\exp\left(2\kappa_- r\right) \left(\frac{r - r_-}{r_-}\right) \left(\frac{r - r_+}{r_+}\right)^{\frac{\kappa_-}{\kappa_+}} \tag{15}$$

Once again, this coordinate chart is *not* a valid one near the event horizon, and expectedly, the extended metric (15) in the neighborhood of the r_- blows up in the extremal limit $r_+ \to r_-$.

B. Extremal Case:

Thus we can not obtain the complete maximal analytic extension along the axis of symmetry of the *extremal* Kerr spacetime as a limiting case of non-extremal Kerr spacetime; the extremal case needs to be treated separately [15].

The tortoise coordinate in this case is given by

$$r^* = \int \frac{(r^2 + M^2)dr}{(r - M)^2} = r + 2M \left[\ln|r - M| - \frac{M}{(r - M)}\right] . \tag{16}$$

Near the horizon $r = M$ this has a leading pole-type singularity

$$r^* \approx \frac{2M^2}{(r - M)} \tag{17}$$

instead of a logarithmic one. Defining the double null coordinates u and v as $u \equiv r^* + t$, $v \equiv r^* - t$, the metric is given by

$$ds^2 = \frac{(r - M)^2}{r^2 + M^2} du dv \ . \tag{18}$$

To determine the position of event horizon at a finite region in the coordinate chart, we follow ref. [15] and introduce universal coordinates U , V such that

$$u = \tan U \ , \ v = \cot V \ . \tag{19}$$

This implies that

$$\tan U + \cot V = 2r^* \ (U, V). \tag{20}$$

Therefore the extremal Kerr metric along the axis of symmetry in universal coordinate system is given by

$$ds^2 = -\frac{(r - M)^2}{r^2 + M^2} \sec^2 U \csc^2 V dU dV \ . \tag{21}$$

IV. MAXIMAL ANALYTICAL EXTENSION OF KERR SPACETIME (OFF AXIS OF SYMMETRY)

In this section, we shall extend our previous analysis for events off the axis of symmetry. We also prove that in that extension the extremal limit $r_+ \to r_-$ becomes discontinuous.

A. Non-Extremal Case:

The metric (1) has singularities at $r_\pm$. This should be eliminated by coordinate transformations which are regular either on the outer and or on the inner horizon, as we have discussed in the last section. Now introducing an outgoing null coordinate u and a corresponding angle coordinate $\hat{\phi}$ by

$$du = dt + \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Delta} dr, \quad d\hat{\phi} = d\phi - \frac{a}{\Delta} dr \ , \tag{22}$$

the metric in outgoing Kerr coordinates $(u, r, \theta, \hat{\phi})$ is

$$ds^2 = -(1-\frac{2Mr}{\rho^2})\,du^2 + 2dudr + \rho^2 d\,\theta^2 - 2a\sin^2\theta dr d\hat{\phi} + \frac{1}{\rho^2}\,\left[(r^2+a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2\theta\right]\,\sin^2\theta d\hat{\phi}^2$$
$$-\frac{4aMr}{\rho^2}\,\sin^2\theta\, d\hat{\phi} du\ . \tag{23}$$

where $\rho^2 = r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta$.

Once again, introducing ingoing null coordinates v, $\tilde{\phi}$ defined by

$$dv = \frac{r^2+a^2}{\Delta}dr - dt\ \ d\hat{\phi} = \frac{a}{\Delta}dr - d\tilde{\phi} \tag{24}$$

the resulting metric in ingoing Kerr coordinates $(v, r, \theta, \tilde{\phi})$ is

$$ds^2 = -(1-\frac{2Mr}{\rho^2})dv^2 + 2dvdr + \rho^2\, d\theta^2 - 2a\sin^2\theta dr d\tilde{\phi} + \frac{1}{\rho^2}\,\left[(r^2+a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2\sin^2\theta\right]\,\sin^2\theta d\tilde{\phi}^2$$
$$-\frac{4aMr}{\rho^2}\,\sin^2\theta d\tilde{\phi} dv\ .. \tag{25}$$

which is formally identical to the original Kerr form. The transformation between the two Kerr forms can be obtained directly as

$$du + dv = 2\frac{r^2+a^2}{\Delta}dr$$
$$d\phi + d\tilde{\phi} = 2\frac{a}{\Delta}dr\ .. \tag{26}$$

Defining new ignorable angle coordinates $\phi^{\pm}$ given by

$$2d\phi^{\pm} = d\phi - d\tilde{\phi} - a\frac{(du-dv)}{(r_{\pm}^2 + a^2)}\ . \tag{27}$$

which are constant on the null generators of the horizon at $r = r_{\pm}$ respectively, the metric is given in the double null coordinates by

$$ds^2 = \frac{\Delta}{4\rho^2}\,\left(\frac{\rho^2}{r^2+a^2} + \frac{\rho_{\pm}^2}{r_{\pm}^2+a^2}\right)\,\frac{(r^2-r_{\pm}^2)\,a^2\sin^2\theta}{(r^2+a^2)(r_{\pm}^2+a^2)}\,(du^2+dv^2) + \rho^2 d\theta^2 + \frac{\Delta}{2\rho^2}\,\left[\frac{\rho^4}{(r^2+a^2)^2} + \frac{\rho_{\pm}^4}{(r_{\pm}^2+a^2)^2}\right] dudv$$
$$-\frac{\Delta a\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}\,\left[a\sin^2\theta\, d\phi^{\pm} - \frac{\rho_{\pm}^2}{r_{\pm}^2+a^2}(du-dv)\right]\,d\phi^{\pm} + \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}\,\left[a\frac{(r_{\pm}^2 - r^2)}{r_{\pm}^2+a^2}\frac{1}{2}(du-dv) - (r^2+a^2)d\phi^{\pm}\right]^2\ , \tag{28}$$

where $\rho_{\pm}^2 = r_{\pm}^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta$. r is defined implicitly as a function of u and v by

$$F(r) = u + v = 2r^* \tag{29}$$

where r^* is called 'tortoise' coordinates. The tortoise coordinate r^* is determined by equation (7).

Similarly as in previous section, near the event horizon $r = r_+$, the tortoise coordinates is given by

$$r^* \approx \frac{1}{2\kappa_+}\ln|r - r_+|\ . \tag{30}$$

Here r^* has logarithm dependence and is singular at $r = r_+$. Introducing double null coordinates $u \equiv r^* + t, v \equiv r^* - t$, as previously it is obvious that the event horizon $r = r_+$ occurs at $v + u = -\infty$.

As previously the analytic extension of the Kerr spacetime can be obtained by choosing the coordinate system in the neighborhood of the event horizon

$$U^{+} = \exp\left(-\kappa_{+} u\right), \quad V^{+} = \exp\left(\kappa_{+} v\right) \qquad (31)$$

Therefore the metric near $r = r_{+}$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = {} & \frac{1}{4\rho^2}\left(\frac{\rho^2}{r^2+a^2} + \frac{\rho_+^2}{r_+^2+a^2}\right) \frac{(r-r_-)(r+r_+)\, a^2 \sin^2\theta\, G_+^2(r)}{(r^2+a^2)(r_+^2+a^2)\kappa_+^2} \left(U^{+2}\, dV^{+2} + V^{+2}\, dU^{+2}\right) \\ & + \rho^2\, d\theta^2 + \frac{1}{2\rho^2}\left[\frac{\rho^4}{(r^2+a^2)^2} + \frac{\rho_+^4}{(r_+^2+a^2)^2}\right] \frac{(r-r_-)\, G_+(r)}{\kappa_+^2}\, dU^+ dV^+ \\ & - \frac{a\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}\left[a\,\Delta\,\sin^2\theta\, d\phi^+ - \frac{\rho_+^2\,(r-r_-)\, G_+(r)}{(r_+^2+a^2)\kappa_+}\left(V^+\, dU^+ - U^+\, dV^+\right)\right] d\phi^+ \\ & + \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}\left[(r^2+a^2)\, d\phi^+ + a\frac{(r+r_+)\, G_+(r)}{2(r_+^2+a^2)\kappa_+}\left(V^+\, dU^+ - U^+\, dV^+\right)\right]^2 . \end{aligned} \qquad (32)$$

where r is defined implicitly as a function of U^+ and V^+ by

$$U^+\, V^+ = (r - r_+) G_+^{-1}(r) \ . \qquad (33)$$

where $G_+(r)$ is defined by

$$G_+(r) = \exp\left(-2\kappa_+ r\right)(r - r_-)^{\frac{\kappa_+}{\kappa_-}} \ . \qquad (34)$$

Once again, $U^+ = V^+ = 0$ represents the bifurcation 2-sphere on the manifold and this coordinate chart is a regular one in the neighborhood of the event horizon and *not* near the Cauchy horizon at $r = r_-$. It is also clear that this coordinate patch is irregular in the extremal limit. This manifests in the metric in (32) turning singular in the limit $r_+ \to r_-$.

Proceeding similarly, we can construct a coordinate chart that is smooth across the Cauchy horizon. We obtain the tortoise coordinate appropriate to $r = r_-$:

$$r^* \approx \frac{1}{2\kappa_+} \ln|r - r_-| \ . \qquad (35)$$

Therefore the transformations for the analytic extension are

$$U^- = \exp\left(-\kappa_- u\right), V^- = \exp\left(\kappa_- v\right) \ . \qquad (36)$$

where κ_- has been previously defined. The metric near $r = r_-$

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = {} & \frac{1}{4\rho^2}\left(\frac{\rho^2}{r^2+a^2} + \frac{\rho_-^2}{r_-^2+a^2}\right) \frac{(r-r_+)(r+r_-)a^2\, \sin^2\theta\, G_-^2(r)}{(r^2+a^2)(r_-^2+a^2)\kappa_-^2} \left(U^{-2}\, dV^{-2} + V^{-2}\, dU^{-2}\right) \\ & + \rho^2\, d\theta^2 + \frac{1}{2\rho^2}\left[\frac{\rho^4}{(r^2+a^2)^2} + \frac{\rho_-^4}{(r_-^2+a^2)^2}\right] \frac{(r-r_+)\, G_-(r)}{\kappa_-^2}\, dU^- dV^- \\ & - \frac{a\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}\left[a\Delta\, \sin^2\theta\, d\phi^- - \frac{\rho_-^2\,(r-r_+)\, G_-(r)}{(r_-^2+a^2)\kappa_-}(V^-\, dU^- - U^-\, dV^-)\right] d\phi^- \\ & + \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}\left[(r^2+a^2)\, d\phi^- + a\frac{(r+r_-)\, G_-(r)}{2(r_-^2+a^2)\kappa_-}\left(V^- dU^- - U^- dV^-\right)\right]^2 . \end{aligned} \qquad (37)$$

where r is defined implicitly as a function of U^- and V^- by

$$U^-\, V^- = (r - r_-)\ G_-^{-1}(r) \ . \qquad (38)$$

where $G_-(r)$ is defined by

$$G_-(r) = \exp\left(-2\kappa_- r\right)(r - r_+)^{\frac{\kappa_-}{\kappa_+}} \ . \qquad (39)$$

Similarly, this coordinate patch is *invalid* near the event horizon, and it is obvious that the extended metric (37) in the neighborhood of the r_- turns singular in the extremal limit $r_+ \to r_-$, proving that the extremal limit is discontinuous insofar as this extension is concerned.

B. Extremal Case:

The complete analytic extension of the *extremal* Kerr spacetime thus cannot be obtained as a limiting case of the non-extremal geometry as previously, one needs to treat the extremal case separately [15]. Defining the double null coordinates and angular coordinates are

$$\begin{aligned} du + dv &= 2\frac{r^2+M^2}{\Delta}dr \\ d\phi + d\tilde{\phi} &= 2\frac{M}{\Delta}dr \ .. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Define ignorable angle coordinates $\phi^\star$ given by

$$2d\phi^\star = d\phi - d\tilde{\phi} - \frac{(du-dv)}{2M} \ , \tag{41}$$

which are constant on the null generator of the horizon at $r = M$. The metric is thus given by

$$ds^2 = \frac{\Delta}{8\rho^2}\left[\frac{\rho^2}{r^2+M^2} + \frac{\rho_\star^2}{2M^2}\right]\frac{(r^2-M^2)\sin^2\theta}{(r^2+M^2)}(du^2+dv^2) + \rho^2\, d\theta^2 + \frac{\Delta}{2\rho^2}\left[\frac{\rho^4}{(r^2+M^2)^2} + \frac{\rho_\star^4}{4M^4}\right]dudv$$
$$-\frac{\Delta M\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}\left[M\sin^2\theta d\phi^\star - \frac{\rho_\star^2}{2M^2}(du-dv)\right]d\phi^\star + \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}\left[\frac{(M^2-r^2)}{4M}(du-dv) - (r^2+M^2)d\phi^\star\right]^2 \ , \tag{42}$$

where $\rho_\star^2 = M^2(1+\cos^2\theta)$, $\Delta = (r-M)^2$, $\rho^2 = (r^2 + M^2\cos^2\theta)$. r is now defined implicitly as a function of u and v by

$$F(r) = u + v = 2r^* \ , \tag{43}$$

where r^* is called 'tortoise' coordinate, determined by

$$dr^* = \frac{(r^2+M^2)dr}{\Delta} = \frac{(r^2+M^2)dr}{(r-M)^2} \ . \tag{44}$$

Integrating (44) yields

$$r^* = \int\frac{(r^2+M^2)dr}{(r-M)^2} = r + 2M\left[\ln|r-M| - \frac{M}{2(r-M)}\right] . \tag{45}$$

Near the horizon $r = M$ this has a leading pole-type singularity

$$r^* \approx \frac{M^2}{(r-M)} \tag{46}$$

instead of a logarithmic one. To locate the event horizon at a finite region in the coordinate chart, we follow ref. [15] and introduce null coordinates U , V such that

$$u = \tan U \ , \ v = \cot V \ . \tag{47}$$

This implies that

$$\tan U + \cot V = 2r^*(U,V) \ . \tag{48}$$

Therefore the complete extremal Kerr metric in (U ,V ,θ ,$\phi^\star$) is given by

$$ds^2 = \frac{\Delta}{8\rho^2}\left[\frac{\rho^2}{r^2+M^2}+\frac{\rho_\star^2}{2M^2}\right]\frac{(r^2-M^2)\sin^2\theta}{(r^2+M^2)}\left(\sec^4 U dU^2+\csc^4 V dV^2\right)+\rho^2\, d\theta^2$$
$$-\frac{\Delta}{2\rho^2}\left[\frac{\rho^4}{(r^2+M^2)^2}+\frac{\rho_\star^4}{4M^4}\right]\sec^2 U\ \csc^2 V\ dUdV$$
$$-\frac{\Delta M\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}\left[M\sin^2\theta d\phi^\star-\frac{\rho_\star^2}{2M^2}(\sec^2 U dU+\csc^2 V dV)\right]d\phi^\star$$
$$+\frac{\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}\left[\frac{(M^2-r^2)}{4M}\left(\sec^2 U\, dU+\csc^2 V\, dV\right)-(r^2+M^2)d\phi^\star\right]^2 . \quad (49)$$

V. SUBTLETIES OF LIMITING CONDITION OF THE COORDINATES ON THE HORIZON:

With out loss of generality we set $\dot{\theta}=0$ and $\theta=constant=\frac{\pi}{2}$ for the equatorial plane, the above metric reduces to

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{8}(1-\frac{M}{r})^2\left[\frac{r^2}{r^2+M^2}+\frac{1}{2}\right]\left(\frac{r^2-M^2}{r^2+M^2}\right)\left(\sec^4 U dU^2+\csc^4 V dV^2\right)$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{M}{r})^2\left[\frac{r^4}{(r^2+M^2)^2}+\frac{1}{4}\right]\sec^2 U\ \csc^2 V\ dUdV - M(1-\frac{M}{r})^2\left[M\, d\phi^\star-\frac{1}{2}(\sec^2 U dU+\csc^2 V dV)\right]d\phi^\star$$
$$+\frac{(M^2-r^2)^2}{16M^2r^2}\left(\sec^2 U\, dU+\csc^2 V\, dV\right)^2+\frac{(r^2+M^2)^2}{r^2}(d\phi^\star)^2-\frac{(M^4-r^4)}{2Mr^2}\left(\sec^2 U dU+\csc^2 V dV\right)d\phi^\star . \quad (50)$$

Therefore the extremal Kerr metric on the equatorial plane $\theta=\pi/2$ can be written as

$$ds^2=\mathcal{A}\left(\sec^4 U\, dU^2+\csc^4 V\, dV^2\right)+\mathcal{B}\sec^2 U\ \csc^2 V dUdV+\mathcal{C}\,(d\phi^\star)^2+\mathcal{D}\left(\sec^2 U\, dU+\csc^2 V\, dV\right)d\phi^\star . \quad (51)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}=\frac{1}{8}(1-\frac{M}{r})^2\left(\frac{r^2}{r^2+M^2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{r^2-M^2}{r^2+M^2}\right)+\frac{(M^2-r^2)^2}{16M^2r^2} . \quad (52)$$
$$\mathcal{B}=\frac{(M^2-r^2)^2}{8M^2r^2}-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{M}{r})^2\left[\frac{r^4}{(r^2+M^2)^2}+\frac{1}{4}\right] . \quad (53)$$
$$\mathcal{C}=\frac{(r^2+M^2)^2}{r^2}-M^2\,(1-\frac{M}{r})^2 . \quad (54)$$
$$\mathcal{D}=\frac{1}{2}M\,(1-\frac{M}{r})^2-\frac{(M^4-r^4)}{2Mr^2} . \quad (55)$$

The spacetime metric (51) has a timelike isometry. The generator of this isometry is the Killing vector field ξ whose projection along the 4-velocity $\mathbf{u}$ of timelike geodesics: $\xi \cdot \mathbf{u} = -\mathcal{E}$, is conserved along such geodesics. Now, ξ has non-vanishing components ξ^U, ξ^V which can be easily derived from the fact that in the Schwarzschild coordinate basis $\xi = \partial_t$. One obtains $\xi^U = \cos^2 U$, $\xi^V = \sin^2 V$. Thus, in this coordinate chart, $\mathcal{E}$ can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{E} = -\left[(g_{UU}\, \xi^U + g_{VU}\, \xi^V)\, u^U + (g_{UV}\, \xi^U + g_{VV}\, \xi^V)\, u^V + (g_{U\phi}\, \xi^U + g_{V\phi}\, \xi^V)\, u^\phi \right] \ . \tag{56}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = -(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}/2) \left[\sec^2 U\, u^U + \csc^2 V\, u^V \right] + 2\mathcal{D}\, u^\phi \ . \tag{57}$$

There is also other isometry for rotational symmetry i.e. the 'angular momentum' $L \equiv \zeta \cdot \mathbf{u}$ (where $\zeta \equiv \partial_\phi$) which is similarly conserved. It can be also expressed as

$$L = \left[g_{\phi U}\, \zeta^\phi\, u^U + g_{\phi V}\, \zeta^\phi\, u^V + g_{\phi\phi}\, \zeta^\phi\, u^\phi \right] \ . \tag{58}$$

$$L = \mathcal{D} \left[\sec^2 U\, u^U + \csc^2 V\, u^V \right] + \mathcal{C}\, u^\phi \ . \tag{59}$$

From the normalization condition

$$u^2 = \mathcal{A} \left[\sec^4 U\, (u^U)^2 + \csc^4 V\, (u^V)^2 \right] + \mathcal{B}\, \sec^2 U\, \csc^2 V\, u^U\, u^V + \mathcal{D} \left[\sec^2 U\, u^U + \csc^2 V\, u^V \right] u^\phi + \mathcal{C}\, (u^\phi)^2 \ . \tag{60}$$

Now, for *circular* geodesics; the radial component of the 4-velocity vanishes: $u^r = 0$. Therefore, this translates into $r_U u^U + r_V u^V = 0$ where $r_U \equiv \partial r/\partial U$ etc. These derivatives of $r(U,V)$ can be calculated from equation (48), so that one obtains for circular geodesics

$$\frac{u^U}{u^V} = \cos^2 U\, \csc^2 V \ . \tag{61}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = -\left[2(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}/2)\, \sec^2 U\, u^U + 2\mathcal{D}\, u^\phi \right] \ . \tag{62}$$

$$L = 2\mathcal{D}\, \sec^2 U\, u^U + \mathcal{C}\, u^\phi \ . \tag{63}$$

Solving equations (62), (63) one obtains

$$u^U\, \sec^2 U = \frac{2\mathcal{D}\, L + \mathcal{C}\, \mathcal{E}}{4\mathcal{D}^2 - 2\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}/2)} \ . \tag{64}$$

$$u^\phi = \frac{L(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}/2) + \mathcal{D}\mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}/2) - 4\mathcal{D}^2} \ . \tag{65}$$

From (60)

$$\mathbf{u}^2 = 2(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}/2)\, (u^U\, \sec^2 U)^2 + 2\mathcal{D}\, (u^U\, \sec^2 U)\, u^\phi + \mathcal{C}\, (u^\phi)^2 \ . \tag{66}$$

$$\mathbf{u}^2 = -(u^U\, \sec^2 U)\, \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{C}\, (u^\phi)^2. \tag{67}$$

For timelike circular geodesics $\mathbf{u}^2 = -1$, Using (64, 65) one obtains the energy equation for timelike circular geodesics as

$$\alpha\, \mathcal{E}^2 + \beta\, \mathcal{E} + \gamma = 0 \ . \tag{68}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= G\, \mathcal{C}\, \mathcal{D}^2 - \mathcal{C}\, \mathcal{H}^2 \\
\beta &= 2\mathcal{C}\, \mathcal{D} G L\, (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}/2) - 2\mathcal{D} L \mathcal{H}^2 \\
\gamma &= \mathcal{C}\, G L^2\, (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}/2)^2 - G\, \mathcal{H}^2 \\
G &= 4\mathcal{D}^2 - 2\mathcal{C}\, (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}/2) \\
\mathcal{H} &= \mathcal{C}\, (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}/2) - 4\mathcal{D}^2 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

Therefore the effective potential for timelike circular geodesics may be written as

$$\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{V}_{eff})_{Horizon} = \frac{-\beta + \sqrt{\beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma}}{2\alpha} \ . \tag{70}$$

Similarly the effective potential for null circular geodesics can be written as

$$\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{U}_{eff})_{Horizon} = \frac{-\beta + \sqrt{\beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma_0}}{2\alpha} \ . \tag{71}$$

where

$$\gamma_0 = \mathcal{C}\, G L^2 \left(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}/2\right)^2 \ . \tag{72}$$

It shows that the future horizon of the spacetime is given by $U = \pi/2$ with V arbitrary: in other words $r(\pi/2, V) = M$. One can compute the derivatives in the equations (48); it turns out that $r_U(\pi/2, V) = 2M^2$, while the other derivative of r is regular on the horizon. Now on the future horizon

$$\mathcal{A} \to 0, \ \ \mathcal{B} \to 0, \ \ \mathcal{C} \to 4M^2, \ \ \mathcal{D} \to 0 \tag{73}$$

$$\alpha \to 0, \ \ \beta \to 0, \ \ \gamma \to 0, \ \ G \to 0, \ \ \mathcal{H} \to 0 \tag{74}$$

$$\mathcal{E} \to 0 \ .$$

$$\frac{u^U}{u^V} = \cos^2 U \csc^2 V \to 0 \ . \tag{75}$$

which implies $u^U \to 0$ and $u^V \to \infty$ on the horizon. It follows that L is a finite quantity which indeed does vanish on the horizon. It is also further observed that timelike circular geodesics and null circular geodesics *coalesce into a zero energy trajectory* (as in the RN case[1])

$$\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{V}_{eff})_{Horizon} = (\mathcal{U}_{eff})_{Horizon} \to 0 \ . \tag{76}$$

Thus, the ISCO on the horizon (stability will be determined in the next section) coincides with the principal null geodesic generator. The existence of a timelike circular orbit turning into the null geodesic generator on the event horizon is a peculiar feature. We recall that the proof of its existence is that it appears as a global minimum of the effective potential.

VI. EQUATORIAL CIRCULAR ORBITS IN KERR SPACETIME:

To compute the effective potential for circular orbits we choose nonsingular coordinates like ingoing Kerr coordinates rather than the Schwarzschild coordinates. In this coordinate system the metric is given by the equation (25). Therefore the metric can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & -(1 - \frac{2Mr}{\rho^2})dv^2 + 2dvdr + \rho^2\, d\theta^2 - 2a \sin^2\theta dr d\tilde{\phi} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left[(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2\theta\right] \sin^2\theta d\tilde{\phi}^2 \\ & - \frac{4aMr}{\rho^2} \sin^2\theta d\tilde{\phi} dv \ .. \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

Taking the transformations

$$\begin{aligned} dv &= dt + \frac{dr}{1 + \sqrt{2Mr(r^2 + a^2)}} \\ d\tilde{\phi} &= d\phi + \frac{a}{r^2 + a^2 + \sqrt{2Mr(r^2 + a^2)}} dr \ . \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

we obtain the Doran form of Kerr metric which is as follows:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \left[\sqrt{\frac{2Mr}{\rho^2}}(dt - a \sin^2\theta d\phi) + \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{r^2 + a^2}} dr\right]^2 + \rho^2 d\theta^2 + (r^2 + a^2) \sin^2\theta d\phi^2 \ . \tag{79}$$

More explicitly the Doran metric can be re-written as

$$ds^2 = -(1-\frac{2Mr}{\rho^2})dt^2 - \frac{4aMr\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}dtd\phi + 2\sqrt{\frac{2Mr}{r^2+a^2}}dtdr - 2a\sin^2\theta\sqrt{\frac{2Mr}{r^2+a^2}}drd\phi + \frac{\rho^2}{r^2+a^2}dr^2$$
$$+\left[r^2+a^2+\frac{2Mr}{\rho^2}a^2\sin^2\theta\right]\sin^2\theta d\phi^2 \ . \tag{80}$$

Interestingly, on the equatorial plane $\theta = \pi/2$, $t =$ *constant* slice, both ingoing Kerr coordinates and Doran coordinates give the same result.

Therefore on the equatorial plane $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ on a $t =$ *constant* slice, the metric reduces to

$$ds^2_{Doran} = ds^2_{IKerr} = -2a\sqrt{\frac{2Mr}{r^2+a^2}}drd\phi + \frac{r^2}{r^2+a^2}dr^2 + \left[r^2+a^2+\frac{2Ma^2}{r}\right]d\phi^2 \ . \tag{81}$$

A. Extremal Spacetime:

In ingoing Kerr coordinates, the spacetime allows the timelike Killing vector $\xi \equiv \partial_v$, whose projection along the 4-velocity $\mathbf{u}$ ($\mathbf{u}^2 = -1$ for timelike and $\mathbf{u}^2 = 0$ for null) of geodesics: $\xi \cdot \mathbf{u} = -E$, is conserved along such geodesics. There is also the 'angular momentum ' $L \equiv \zeta \cdot \mathbf{u}$ (where $\zeta \equiv \partial_\phi$) which is similarly conserved. Thus, in this coordinate chart, $\mathcal{E}$ and L can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{E} = -[g_{vv}\xi^v u^v + g_{vr}\xi^v u^r + g_{v\phi}\xi^v u^\phi] \ .$$
$$\mathcal{E} = [(1-\frac{2M}{r})u^v - u^r + \frac{2M^2}{r}u^\phi] \ . \tag{82}$$

$$L = [g_{\phi\phi}\zeta^\phi u^\phi + g_{\phi r}\zeta^\phi u^r + g_{\phi v}\zeta^\phi u^v] \ .$$
$$L = (r^2+M^2+\frac{2M^3}{r})u^\phi - Mu^r - \frac{2M^2}{r}u^v \ . \tag{83}$$

From normalization condition

$$u^\mu u_\mu = -1 = g_{vv}(u^v)^2 + (g_{vr}+g_{rv})u^v u^r + g_{\phi\phi}(u^\phi)^2$$
$$(g_{\phi r}+g_{r\phi})u^\phi u^r + (g_{\phi v}+g_{v\phi})u^\phi u^v \tag{84}$$

Once again, for *circular* geodesics; the condition that the radial component of the 4-velocity vanishes: $u^r = 0$. In terms of components in our chosen coordinate chart $\mathcal{E}$ and L becomes

$$\mathcal{E} = [(1-\frac{2M}{r})u^v + \frac{2M^2}{r}u^\phi] \ .$$
$$L = -\frac{2M^2}{r}u^v + (r^2+M^2+\frac{2M^3}{r})u^\phi \ .$$
$$-1 = g_{vv}(u^v)^2 + g_{\phi\phi}(u^\phi)^2 + (g_{\phi v}+g_{v\phi})u^\phi u^v \ .$$
$$1 = (1-\frac{2M}{r})(u^v)^2 - (r^2+M^2+\frac{2M^3}{r})(u^\phi)^2 + \frac{4M^2}{r}u^v u^\phi \ .$$

It is straightforward to show that solving equations (85), (85), (85) for circular timelike orbits $\mathcal{E}$ obeys the equation [17]

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}^2-1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{r}^2 + \mathcal{V}_{eff}(r)$$
$$\mathcal{V}_{eff}(r) = -\frac{M}{r} + \frac{L^2 - M^2(\mathcal{E}^2-1)}{2r^2} - \frac{M(L-M\mathcal{E})^2}{r^3} \ .$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{eff}(r)$ is called the effective potential. For null orbits, the corresponding effective potential is given by

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}^2-1}{2} = \mathcal{U}_{eff}(r) = \frac{(L^2-M^2\mathcal{E}^2)}{2r^2} - \frac{M(L-M\mathcal{E})^2}{r^3} - \frac{1}{2} \ ,$$

If this effective potential has an absolute minimum, this corresponds to the *stability* of a circular orbit. Alternatively, other extrema correspond to the radial locations of unstable circular orbits.

It is convenient to define dimensionless quantities $\mathbf{r} \equiv r/M$, $\ell \equiv L/M$ and $\mathbf{a} \equiv a/M$. Thus, the extremal case corresponds to $\mathbf{a} = 1$.

1. *Timelike Orbits:*

The effective potential in this case is given by

$$\mathcal{V}_{eff}(\mathbf{r},\ell,\mathcal{E}) = -\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{\ell^{\mathbf{2}} - (\mathcal{E}^{\mathbf{2}} - \mathbf{1})}{\mathbf{2r^2}} - \frac{(\ell-\mathcal{E})^{\mathbf{2}}}{\mathbf{r^3}} \ . \tag{85}$$

For circular geodesics of constant $\mathbf{r}$ at $\mathbf{r} = r_0$, we get from (85)

$$\mathcal{V}_{eff} = \frac{\mathcal{E}^2-1}{2} \ , \tag{86}$$

and

$$\frac{d\mathcal{V}_{eff}}{dr} = 0 \ , \tag{87}$$

Therefore we obtain the energy and angular momentum per unit mass of the test particle as

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{r_0 \pm \sqrt{r_0} - 1}{r_0^{3/4}\sqrt{(r_0^{1/2} \pm 2)}} \ . \tag{88}$$

and

$$\ell = \pm \frac{r_0\sqrt{r_0} \mp r_0 + \sqrt{r_0} \mp 1}{r_0^{3/4}\sqrt{(\sqrt{r_0} \pm 2)}} \ . \tag{89}$$

Here and in subsequent analysis the + sign indicates direct orbits and − indicates retrograde orbits. Therefore the minimum radius for a stable circular orbit will be determined at a point of inflection of the function $\mathcal{V}_{eff}$ derived from the equations (86, 87) with the further equation

$$\frac{d^2\mathcal{V}_{eff}}{dr^2} = 0 \ . \tag{90}$$

It follows that the ISCO equation for extremal Kerr blackhole is given by

$$r_0^2 - 6r_0 \pm 8\sqrt{r_0} - 3 = 0 \ . \tag{91}$$

It can be easily examine that the circular orbit $r_0 = 1$ with $\ell = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ and $\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ corresponds to the Innermost Stable Circular Orbit(ISCO) for co-rotating orbits. The other ISCO occurs at $r_0 = 9$ with $\ell = -\frac{22}{\sqrt{27}}$ and $\mathcal{E} = \frac{\sqrt{25}}{\sqrt{27}}$ for counter- rotating orbits.

B. Near Extremal Kerr Spacetime:

1. *Timelike Orbits:*

The effective potential for timelike circular geodesics in generic non-extremal Kerr spacetime can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{V}_{eff}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{\ell^2 - \mathbf{a}^2(\mathcal{E}^2 - 1)}{2\mathbf{r}^2} - \frac{(\ell - \mathbf{a}\mathcal{E})^2}{\mathbf{r}^3} \tag{92}$$

For circular geodesics evaluated at $\mathbf{r} = r_0$, we get from (85)

$$\mathcal{V}_{eff} = \frac{\mathcal{E}^2 - 1}{2} \ , \tag{93}$$

and

$$\frac{d\mathcal{V}_{eff}}{dr} = 0 \ , \tag{94}$$

The energy and angular momentum per unit mass of the test particle are given by

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{r_0\sqrt{r_0} - \sqrt{r_0} \pm 1}{r_0^{3/4}\sqrt{(r_0\sqrt{r_0} - 3\sqrt{r_0} \pm 2\mathbf{a})}} \ . \tag{95}$$

and

$$\ell = \pm \frac{r_0^2 \mp 2\mathbf{a}\sqrt{r_0} + \sqrt{r_0} + \mathbf{a}^2}{r_0^{3/4}\sqrt{(r_0\sqrt{r_0} - 3\sqrt{r_0} \pm 2\mathbf{a})}} \ . \tag{96}$$

Therefore the minimum radius for a stable circular orbit will be obtained at a point of inflection of the function $\mathcal{V}_{eff}$, using the equations (86, 87) with the equation

$$\frac{d^2\mathcal{V}_{eff}}{dr^2} = 0 \ , \tag{97}$$

yielding

$$r_0^2 - 6r_0 \pm 8\mathbf{a}\sqrt{r_0} - 3\mathbf{a}^2 = 0 \ . \tag{98}$$

We solve the ISCO equation $r_0^2 - 6r_0 \pm 8\mathbf{a}\sqrt{r_0} - 3\mathbf{a}^2 = 0$ perturbatively around the extremal solutions satisfying equation. (85), corresponding to the *near extremal* geometry. Now we define $\chi \equiv 1 - \mathbf{a} > 0$, $\varrho \equiv r_0 - 1$, where, recall that for the extremal situation $\mathbf{a} = 1$, the stable circular orbit is at $r_0 = 1$. In our chosen units, the event horizon is located at $r_+ = 1 + (2\chi)^{1/2} + O(\chi^{3/2})$ while the inner horizon is at $r_- = 1 - (2\chi)^{1/2} + O(\chi^{3/2})$ and the ergo-sphere is at $r_{ergo} = 2$ for equatorial plane. The extremization equation is to be solved perturbatively around the extremal solution to yield ϱ to *linear* order in the perturbation χ. Likewise, terms of $O(\varrho^2)$ or higher are ignored.

Now the equation (98) is solved in the *near extremal* approximation, to compare the results with those in the extremal situation. We obtain to the linear order in the perturbation

$$\varrho = -\chi\left(\frac{3 - 4\sqrt{r_0}}{r_0 - 3 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{r_0}}}\right) + O(\chi^2) \text{ (Direct)}$$

leading to a circular orbits with radii

$$\mathbf{r}_{ISCO}^{nex} \simeq 1 - \chi\left(\frac{3 - 4\sqrt{r_0}}{r_0 - 3 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{r_0}}}\right) + O(\chi^2) \text{ (Direct)}$$

It is clearly evident that for the value of $r_0 = 1$, $\mathbf{r}_{ISCO}^{nex} \to \infty$ for direct orbits. This happens only in extremal Kerr spacetime which implies another example of subtleties of the extremal limit.

We end this subsection with what we perceive to be the key point in this paper : *the issue of a direct ISCO present on the horizon in the extremal Kerr spacetime(which coincides with the principal null geodesic generator) is not manifest* in the near extremal Kerr spacetime.

C. Proper Spatial Distance:

Here we would like to point out that the proper spatial distance on a spatial (constant time) slice, from an exterior point to the horizon exhibits a divergence for the precisely extremely spacetime. The proper spatial distance [11] on a constant time slice from any point to the event horizon is given by

$$r_p = \int_{r_+}^{r_{ISCO}} \sqrt{g_{rr}} dr$$
$$= \sqrt{(\mathbf{r}-1)^2 - 2\chi} + \ln \mid \mathbf{r} - 1 + \sqrt{(\mathbf{r}-1)^2 - 2\chi} \mid_{r_+}^{r_{ISCO}} \tag{99}$$

where we have used $\mathbf{a} = 1 - \chi$, $g_{rr} = \frac{r^2}{\Delta}$. In terms of χ the event horizon is located at $r_+ = 1 + (2\chi)^{1/2} + O(\chi^{3/2})$, the inner horizon is at $r_- = 1 - (2\chi)^{1/2} + O(\chi^{3/2})$, the photon orbit at $r_{ph} = 1 + \sqrt{\frac{8\chi}{3}} + O(\chi^{3/2})$, a marginally bound orbit is at $r_{mb} = 1 + 2\sqrt{\chi}$, the ISCO is at $1 + \sqrt[3]{4\chi}$ and the ergo-sphere is at $r_{ergo} = 2$ for the equatorial plane. The proper distance from photon orbit to event horizon is $r_p \mid_{r_+}^{r_{ph}} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \mid 3 \mid$. At the extremal limit $\chi \to 0$, this distance is finite. Again the limiting distance from ISCO to the bifurcation surface is $r_p \mid_{r_+}^{r_{ISCO}} \sim -\frac{1}{6} \ln \mid \chi \mid$. At the extremal limit in fact this distance diverges. The distance for marginally bound orbit(r_{mb}) to the bifurcation surface is $r_p \mid_{r_+}^{r_{mb}} = \ln \mid \sqrt{2} + 1 \mid$, which reamins non-vanishing in the extremal limit. Finally, the limiting distance from ergosphere to horizon is at $r_p \mid_{r_+}^{r_{ergo}} \sim -\frac{1}{2} \ln \mid \chi \mid$ which also diverges at the extremal limit.

VII. DISCUSSION:

The study reveals that the disparity between precisely extremely and nearly extremely geometries and the singular nature of the extremal limit of Carters maximal analytic extension of a generic Kerr geometry. The other aspect we have shown is that the direct ISCO in extremal Kerr spacetime, which lies *on* the event horizon and in terms of the radial coordinate, coincides with the principal null geodesic generator, is non-existent in the near-extremal geometry.

In the well-known paper of Bardeen et. al. [13] where the Boyer-Lindquist (BL) frame has been considered, for the precisely extremal geometry, all BL time slices are not quite well-defined, since the extremal geometry does not have a bifurcation sphere. So, their claim that the null geodesic and ISCO are 'far away from the horizon' is not quite correct for all frames in the precisely extremal case. Indeed, this has been recently shown by Jacobson [9], where in the so-called Doran frame, there is a time slice crossing the future horizon where these geodesics coincide with the null geodesic generator of the horizon. The ingoing Kerr coordinates used by us leads to an identical result whereby these geodesics coincide with the null geodesic generator on the horizon in a particular time slice, confirming Jacobson's [9] result.

Another view of this discontinuity is gleaned from the absence of outer trapped surfaces within the horizon in the extremal geometry in contrast to a more generic situation, as we now discuss.

A. Absence of trapped surfaces in extremal Kerr spacetime:

In a most general spacetime $(M, g_{\mu\nu})$ with the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ having signature $(-+++)$, one can define two future directed null vectors l^μ and n^μ whose expansion scalars are given by

$$\theta_{(l)} = q^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu l_\nu \;\; \theta_{(n)} = q^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu n_\nu \; . \tag{100}$$

where $q_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + l_\mu n_\nu + n_\mu l_\nu$ is the metric induced by $g_{\mu\nu}$ on the two dimensional spacelike surface formed by spatial foliation of the null hypersurface generated by l^μ and n^μ.

Then (i) a two dimensional spacelike surface S is said to be a *trapped* surface if both $\theta_{(l)} < 0$ and $\theta_{(n)} < 0$; (ii) S is to be *marginally trapped* surface if one of two null expansions vanish i.e. $\theta_{(l)} = 0$ or $\theta_{(n)} = 0$. The null vectors for non-extremal Kerr black hole are given by

$$l^\mu = \frac{1}{\Delta}(r^2 + a^2, -\Delta, 0, a) \;\; n^\mu = \frac{1}{2r^2}(r^2 + a^2, \Delta, 0, a)$$

$$l_\mu = \frac{1}{\Delta}(-\Delta, -(r^2 + a^2), 0, a\Delta) \;\; n_\mu = \frac{1}{2r^2}(-\Delta, r^2 + a^2, 0, a\Delta)$$

where $\Delta = (r - r_+)(r - r_-)$ and $r_\pm = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$. The null vectors satisfy the following conditions :

$$l^\mu n_\mu = -1 \;\; l^\mu l_\mu = 0 \;\; n^\mu n_\mu = 0 \tag{101}$$

Using (100), one obtains

$$\theta_{(l)} = -\frac{2}{r}\ \theta_{(n)} = \frac{(r-r_+)(r-r_-)}{r^3} \tag{102}$$

In the region $(r_- < r < r_+)$, $\theta_{(l)} < 0$ and $\theta_{(n)} < 0$. This implies that trapped surfaces exist for non extreme Kerr black hole in this region. In contrast, for the extreme Kerr black hole

$$\theta_{(l)} = -\frac{2}{r}\ \theta_{(n)} = \frac{(r-M)^2}{r^3} \tag{103}$$

Here inside or outside extremal horizon $r < M$ or $r > M$, $\theta_{(l)} < 0$ and $\theta_{(n)} > 0$. This implies that there are no trapped surfaces for extremal Kerr black hole beyond the event horizon .

B. Outlook:

Our analysis suggest that the extremal limit of a generic Kerr black hole is *not necessarily* the extremal black hole spacetime. Apart from questions pertaining to geodesics and circular orbits in extremal Kerr-Newman spacetimes and also for higher dimensional spacetime like (Myers-Perry), there are also the issue of stability of such spacetimes with respect to *superradiance.* In contrast to non-rotating black holes where extremality implies stability with regarding to radiation, the Kerr class have a maximal size of the ergo region in the extremal case, and hence ought to *superradiate* maximally. This should make the black hole unstable to Hawking radiation as well, since long before the irreducible mass is reached, the spacetime is not extremal anymore and will begin to Hawking radiate. This interesting interplay will be addressed in a future paper.

---

[1] P. Pradhan, P. Majumdar, *Phys. Lett.* **A 375** (2010) 474-479; arXiv:1001.3582[gr-qc].

[2] P. Pradhan, arXiv:1205.5656[gr-qc].

[3] S. Das, A. Dasgupta and P. Ramadevi, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A12** (1997) 3067; ArXiv:9608162.

[4] S. M. Carroll, M. C. Johnson, L. Randall, *JHEP* **0911** (2009) 109; arXiv:0901.0931.

[5] D. Pugliese, H. Quevedo, R. Ruffini, *Phys. Rev.***D 84** (2011) 044030; ArXiv:gr-qc/1105.2959v2.

[6] T. Harada,M.Kimura, Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011) 024002.

[7] R. M. Wald, *Phys. Rev.* **D 48** (1993) 3427; arXiv:gr-qc/9307028.

[8] A. Sen, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A24** (2009) 4225 and references therein; arXiv:0809.3304v2.

[9] T. Jacobson, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **28** (2011) 187001; arXiv:1107.5081 [gr-qc].

[10] S. W. Hawking, G.T. Horowitz and S. F. Ross,*Phys. Rev.* **D 51** (1995) 4302; ArXiv:gr-qc/9409013.

[11] J. D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Rev.* **D 7** (1973) 2333.

[12] W. Israel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57** (1986) 397.

[13] J. M. Bardeen, W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky *The Astrop. Jou.* **178** (1972) 347-369.

[14] S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev.* **D 13**191 (1976).

[15] B. Carter, *Phys. Review. Lett.* **141**(4) (1966) 1242.

[16] B. Carter, *Phys. Review. Lett.* **174**(5) (1968) 1559.

[17] J. B. Hartle, *Gravity-An Introduction To Einstein's General Relativity* , Benjamin Cummings 2003.

[18] S. Chandrashekar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes*, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1983).